

EXHIBIT 8



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Yu Chang Hu et. al : Date: December 9, 1997
Serial No.: 08/707,929 : Group No.: 2503
Filed: September 10, 1996 : Examiner: Sheila Clark
Attorney Docket No.: MMOS-85-001 @ (703) 308-4924

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this Office Response Transmittal and the documents referred to as enclosed therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date December 9, 1997 in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label Number EI906112194 US addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Bo-In Lin

(Type or print name of person mailing papers)

(Signature of person mailing paper)

NOTE: Each paper or fee referred to as enclosed herein has the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon to mailing. 37 CFR 1.10(b).

To the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks:

AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Action mailed on September 17, 1997, the Applicant hereby respectfully requests that the above noted application be amended as follows:

- I. Please amend Fig. 1A as attached herein.
- II. Please cancel claim 1.

MMOS 001(08/707,929)

REMARKS

In response to the Examiner's Action mailed on September 17, 1997, the drawing, i.e., Fig. 1A is amended. Claims 1 is canceled. The applicant hereby respectfully requests that the patent application be reconsidered.

An item by item response to Examiner's objections or rejections is provided in the followings:

1 Objection to Drawings:

The Examiner objects to the drawings because Fig. 1A fails to show label or features described on page 2, lines 3-26. For example, gate runners 30 are not shown as well as areas 35-1, to 35-5, point to 40 and point to 45, etc. Further page 2 refers to "lead frames 20" as in plurality, however, only one lead frame appears to be shown. Fig. 1A is amended to correct these informalities.

2 Rejection of Claim Under 35 USC § 102

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Prior art Figs. 1A and 1/b. Prior art Figs. 1A and 1B appear to show substantially uniform lead-wire contact points disposed over a source contact.

In response to the rejection, claim 1 is canceled.

3. Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner instructs that claims 2-9 are allowed over the art of record because of the specific recitation of different size sub-contact areas, angled contact areas and configurations according to a set of ratios.

MMOS 001(08/707,929)

With the amended drawings and the canceled claim, and the reasons provided above, applicant hereby respectfully urges that Examiner's objection to the drawings and the rejections under 35 USC § 102 be withdrawn and the present application be allowed.

Respectfully submitted
Yung Chang Hu et. al

By



Bo-In Lin - Attorney
Registration No. 33,948
13445 Mandoli Drive
Los Altos Hills, CA 94022
(415) 949-0418 (TEL)
(415) 949-4118 (FAX)